

# Mineral Resource and Competent Person Statements

**Table 1. Gonneville Project Mineral Resource Estimate (JORC Code 2012), 28 March 2023.**

Domain	Cut-off Grade	Category	Mass	Grade								Contained Metal									
				Pd (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Co (%)	NiEq (%)	PdEq (g/t)	Pd (Moz)	Pt (Moz)	Au (Moz)	Ni (kt)	Cu (kt)	Co (kt)	NiEq (kt)	PdEq (Moz)		
Oxide	0.9g/t Pd	Measured	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Indicated	7.3	1.9	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	0.45	-	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	0.47
		Inferred	0.2	1.9	-	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	0.01	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	0.02
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.49</b>
Sulphide (Transitional)	0.35% NiEq	Measured	0.38	0.82	0.17	0.03	0.19	0.17	0.020	0.70	2.2	0.01	-	-	0.72	0.63	0.07	2.7	0.03		
		Indicated	14	0.66	0.15	0.03	0.16	0.10	0.018	0.54	1.7	0.30	0.07	0.01	22	14	2.5	77	0.77		
		Inferred	0.27	0.60	0.16	0.03	0.15	0.12	0.015	0.54	1.7	0.01	-	-	0.42	0.32	0.04	1.5	0.01		
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.018</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0.81</b>		
Sulphide (Fresh)	0.35% NiEq	Measured	2.3	1.1	0.26	0.03	0.24	0.18	0.019	0.87	2.7	0.08	0.02	-	5.4	4.2	0.43	20	0.20		
		Indicated	280	0.67	0.15	0.03	0.16	0.09	0.015	0.53	1.7	6.0	1.3	0.23	440	260	43	1500	15		
		Inferred	200	0.67	0.15	0.03	0.15	0.09	0.015	0.53	1.6	4.4	0.96	0.16	310	180	29	1100	11		
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2600</b>	<b>26</b>		
Underground	0.40% NiEq	Measured	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Indicated	1.7	0.75	0.21	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.013	0.55	1.7	0.04	0.01	-	2.4	1.4	0.23	9.5	0.10		
		Inferred	52	0.78	0.17	0.03	0.16	0.11	0.015	0.59	1.8	1.3	0.28	0.05	83	56	7.7	310	3.1		
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>3.2</b>		
All		Measured	2.7	1.1	0.24	0.03	0.23	0.18	0.019	0.85	2.6	0.09	0.02	-	6.2	4.9	0.51	23	0.23		
		Indicated	300	0.70	0.15	0.03	0.16	0.09	0.015	0.54	1.7	6.8	1.4	0.26	460	280	45	1600	16		
		Inferred	250	0.70	0.15	0.03	0.15	0.09	0.015	0.54	1.7	5.7	1.2	0.22	390	230	37	1400	14		
		<b>Total</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>3000</b>	<b>30</b>		

Note some numerical differences may occur due to rounding to 2 significant figures.

PdEq oxide (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd (g/t) + 1.27x Au (g/t)

NiEq sulphide (Nickel Equivalent %) = Ni (%) + 0.32x Pd(g/t) + 0.21x Pt(g/t) + 0.38x Au(g/t) + 0.83x Cu(%) + 3.00x Co(%)

PdEq sulphide (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd (g/t) + 0.67x Pt(g/t) + 1.17 x Au(g/t) + 3.11x Ni(%) + 2.57x Cu(%) + 9.33x Co(%)

Underground resources are outside the pit above a 0.40% NiEq cut off grade based on sub-level caving mining method

Includes drill holes drilled up to and including 11 December 2022.

**Table 2. Higher-grade sulphide component of Gonneville Resource (in pit and underground), 28 March 2023**

Domain	Cut-off Grade	Category	Mass	Grade								Contained Metal							
				(Mt)	Pd (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Co (%)	NiEq (%)	PdEq (g/t)	Pd (Moz)	Pt (Moz)	Au (Moz)	Ni (kt)	Cu (kt)	Co (kt)	NiEq (kt)
High-grade Sulphide (Transitional)	0.6% NiEq	Measured	0.17	1.2	0.24	0.05	0.24	0.25	0.023	0.97	3.0	0.01	-	-	0.41	0.43	0.04	1.7	0.02
		Indicated	3.4	1.1	0.21	0.04	0.20	0.16	0.020	0.79	2.5	0.12	0.02	-	6.6	5.3	0.69	27	0.27
		Inferred	0.07	0.84	0.18	0.03	0.22	0.26	0.019	0.81	2.5	-	-	-	0.16	0.18	0.01	0.57	0.01
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0.29</b>
High-grade Sulphide (Fresh)	0.6% NiEq	Measured	0.88	2.2	0.47	0.05	0.39	0.35	0.027	1.6	4.9	0.06	0.01	-	3.4	3.1	0.24	14	0.14
		Indicated	58	1.2	0.26	0.06	0.20	0.18	0.018	0.87	2.7	2.3	0.48	0.11	120	100	10	500	5.1
		Inferred	40	1.3	0.26	0.06	0.19	0.18	0.017	0.87	2.7	1.6	0.33	0.08	75	73	6.6	340	3.5
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>8.7</b>
Underground	0.6% NiEq	Measured	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Indicated	0.4	1.2	0.36	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.014	0.78	2.5	0.02	-	-	0.61	0.46	0.06	3.3	0.03
		Inferred	13	1.4	0.27	0.06	0.20	0.20	0.017	0.93	2.9	0.58	0.12	0.03	26	26	2.2	120	1.2
		<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>1.3</b>
All		Measured	1.1	2.0	0.43	0.05	0.37	0.33	0.026	1.5	4.6	0.07	0.01	-	3.8	3.5	0.28	15	0.15
		Indicated	62	1.2	0.25	0.06	0.20	0.18	0.018	0.87	2.7	2.4	0.50	0.11	130	110	11	530	5.4
		Inferred	53	1.3	0.26	0.06	0.19	0.19	0.017	0.89	2.8	2.2	0.45	0.11	100	99	8.8	470	4.7
		<b>Total</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>10</b>

Note some numerical differences may occur due to rounding to 2 significant figures.

This higher-grade component is contained within the reported Global Mineral Resource.

PdEq oxide (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd (g/t) + 1.27x Au (g/t)

NiEq sulphide (Nickel Equivalent %) = Ni (%) + 0.32x Pd(g/t) + 0.21x Pt(g/t) + 0.38x Au(g/t) + 0.83x Cu(%) + 3.00x Co(%)

PdEq sulphide (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd (g/t) + 0.67x Pt(g/t) + 1.17 x Au(g/t) + 3.11x Ni(%) + 2.57x Cu(%) + 9.33x Co(%)

Underground resources are outside the pit above a 0.40% NiEq cut off grade based on sub-level caving mining method

Includes drill holes drilled up to and including 11 December 2022.

## Metal equivalents

The Gonneville Resource is quoted in both nickel equivalent (NiEq) and palladium equivalent (PdEq) terms to take into account the contribution of multiple potentially payable metals. The cut-off grade for the sulphide domain was determined using NiEq in preference over PdEq, due to the assumed requirement for sulphide flotation to recover the metals.

PdEq is quoted given the relative importance of palladium by value at the assumed prices. Separate metal equivalent calculations are used for the oxide and transitional/sulphide zones to take into account the differing metallurgical recoveries in each zone.

### Oxide Domain

Initial metallurgical testwork indicates that only palladium and gold are likely to be recovered in the oxide domain, therefore no NiEq grade has been quoted for the oxide. The PdEq grade for the oxide has been calculated using the formula:

$$\ll \text{PdEq oxide (g/t)} = \text{Pd (g/t)} + 1.27 \times \text{Au (g/t)}.$$

Metal recoveries based on limited metallurgical test work completed to date:

$$\ll \text{Pd} - 75\%, \text{Au} - 90\%.$$

Metal prices used are consistent with those used in the pit optimisation:

$$\ll \text{US\$1,800/oz Pd, US\$1,800/oz Au.}$$

### Transitional and Fresh Sulphide Domains

Based on metallurgical testwork completed to date for the sulphide domain, it is the Company's opinion that all the quoted elements included in metal equivalent calculations (palladium, platinum, gold, nickel, copper and cobalt) have a reasonable potential of being recovered and sold.

Only limited samples have been collected from the transitional zone due to its relatively small volume. Therefore, the metallurgical recovery of all metals in this domain are unknown. However, given the relatively small proportion of the transition zone in the Mineral Resource, the impact on the metal equivalent calculation is not considered to be material.

Metal equivalents for the transitional and sulphide domains are calculated according to the formula below:

$$\ll \text{NiEq\%} = \text{Ni (\%)} + 0.32 \times \text{Pd (g/t)} + 0.21 \times \text{Pt (g/t)} + 0.38 \times \text{Au (g/t)} + 0.83 \times \text{Cu (\%)} + 3.00 \times \text{Co (\%)};$$

$$\ll \text{PdEq (g/t)} = \text{Pd (g/t)} + 0.67 \times \text{Pt (g/t)} + 1.17 \times \text{Au (g/t)} + 3.11 \times \text{Ni (\%)} + 2.57 \times \text{Cu (\%)} + 9.33 \times \text{Co (\%)}.$$

Metal recoveries used in the metal equivalent calculations are based on rounded average Resource grades for the sulphide domain (>0.35% NiEq cut-off):

$$\ll \text{Pd} - 60\%, \text{Pt} - 60\%, \text{Au} - 70\%, \text{Ni} - 45\%, \text{Cu} - 85\%, \text{Co} - 45\%.$$

Metal prices used are consistent with those used in the Whittle pit optimisation (based on long term consensus analyst estimates):

$$\ll \text{US\$1,800/oz Pd, US\$1,200/oz Pt, US\$1,800/oz Au, US\$24,000/t Ni, US\$10,500/t Cu and US\$72,000/t Co.}$$

## Competent Person Statements

The information on this website that relates to Mineral Resources is extracted from the ASX announcement titled "Gonneville Resource increases by ~50% to ~3Mt NiEq" dated 28 March 2023. This announcement is available to view on the Company's website at [www.challicemining.com](http://www.challicemining.com).

The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the original release continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the relevant original market announcement.

## Mineral Resources Reporting Requirements

As an Australian Company with securities listed on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX), Chalice is subject to Australian disclosure requirements and standards, including the requirements of the Corporations Act 2001 and the ASX listing rules. It is a requirement of the ASX listing rules that the reporting of exploration results and mineral resources estimates are in accordance with the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of exploration Results, Minerals Resources and Ore Reserves ("JORC Code").

The requirements of JORC Code differ in certain material respects from the disclosure requirements of United States securities laws and other reporting regimes. There is no assurance that the Company's mineral resource estimates and related disclosures prepared under the JORC Code would be the same as those prepared under United States securities law and other reporting regimes. The terms used in this announcement are as defined in the JORC Code. The definitions of these terms differ from the definitions of such terms for purposes of the disclosure requirements in the United States and other reporting regimes.

Mineral Resource Estimates that are not Ore Reserves do not have demonstrated technical feasibility and economic viability. Due to lower certainty, the inclusion of Mineral Resource Estimates should not be regarded as a representation by Chalice that such amounts can be economically exploited, and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance upon such figures. No assurances can be given that the estimates of Mineral Resources presented in this announcement will be recovered at the tonnages and grades presented, or at all.